



A STUDY ON AI-ENABLED TRANSFORMATION AND INTEGRATION LEVEL IN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

Dr.G. Karthi,
Assistant Professor,
Department of Management Science,
Kongu Arts and Science College (Autonomous), Erode.
Nirmal Sathyaraj.R, Ph.D.
Research Scholar, Department of Management Science,
Kongu Arts and Science College (Autonomous), Erode.

Corresponding Author: Dr.G. Karthi

DOI Link: <https://doi.org/10.64971/j.cph.eijtem.v13.i3.25.2026>

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in higher education, reshaping academic, administrative, research, and student support functions through digital innovation and intelligent technologies. The aim of this study is to examine the level of AI-enabled transformation and integration in higher education institutions and to evaluate its influence on institutional effectiveness, educational quality, operational efficiency, and stakeholder experiences. The study adopts a quantitative research approach using a descriptive research design, with primary data collected from 150 respondents through a structured questionnaire based on a five-point Likert scale. The collected data are analysed using percentage analysis, descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) to examine the relationships among the study variables. The results indicate that higher education institutions have achieved a moderate to high level of AI-enabled transformation and integration across teaching, learning, administration, and student services. The findings further reveal that AI integration enhances teaching effectiveness, learning engagement, institutional decision-making, operational efficiency, and service quality, while technological readiness, faculty competence, and organisational support significantly contribute to successful implementation. However, challenges related to digital skills, ethical concerns, data privacy, and infrastructure limitations continue to influence the pace of AI adoption. The study concludes that AI-enabled transformation and integration play a significant role in promoting innovation, improving institutional performance, and enhancing the overall quality of higher education. Strengthening AI infrastructure, developing faculty competencies, establishing ethical AI governance, and implementing supportive institutional policies can further accelerate sustainable digital transformation in higher education institutions.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, AI-Enabled Transformation, AI Integration, Higher Education Institutions, Digital Transformation, Educational Innovation, Institutional Performance, Technological Readiness, Faculty Competence, Student Engagement.

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become one of the most influential technological innovations transforming the higher education sector by reshaping teaching, learning, research, assessment, administration, and institutional decision-making. Higher education institutions are increasingly integrating AI-powered technologies such as intelligent tutoring systems, learning analytics, virtual assistants, automated assessment tools, and predictive analytics to improve academic quality, personalise learning experiences, and enhance operational efficiency. AI-enabled transformation extends beyond the adoption of digital tools by promoting data-driven decision-making, improving institutional effectiveness, strengthening stakeholder engagement, and supporting innovation across academic and administrative functions. As educational institutions continue to embrace digital

transformation, the level of AI integration has emerged as a critical indicator of institutional readiness, technological capability, and long-term sustainability in an increasingly competitive educational environment. Despite the growing adoption of AI technologies, higher education institutions continue to face several challenges in achieving comprehensive AI integration. Issues such as inadequate technological infrastructure, limited digital competencies among faculty and staff, ethical concerns, data privacy, algorithmic transparency, resistance to organisational change, and the absence of well-defined institutional policies may influence the effectiveness of AI implementation. Therefore, assessing the level of AI-enabled transformation and integration is essential to understand how effectively institutions leverage AI to improve educational quality, institutional performance, and stakeholder experiences. This study contributes to the existing literature by examining the extent of AI-enabled transformation and integration in higher education institutions, thereby providing valuable insights for policymakers, institutional leaders, and educators to strengthen AI adoption strategies and promote sustainable digital transformation.

Review of literature

Alotaibi, N. S. (2024) examined the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Learning Management Systems (LMS) in higher education and to evaluate their impact on educational quality, student success, institutional performance, and sustainable development. Following the PRISMA 2020 guidelines, the study conducted a systematic review of 60 relevant studies published between 2014 and 2023 that were retrieved from the Scopus database and analysed to identify the applications, benefits, challenges, and future directions of AI-LMS integration. The findings revealed that AI-LMS integration significantly enhanced student engagement, personalised learning, adaptive assessments, learning outcomes, and institutional effectiveness while also identifying challenges such as data privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, and the need for faculty training. The study further highlighted the importance of ethical AI implementation and equitable access to technology.

Jayaram, Y., Sundar, D., & Bhat, J. (2022) aimed to develop and evaluate an AI-driven content intelligence framework for enhancing institutional knowledge management in higher education by improving the management, discovery, and governance of digital content. The study proposed an integrated framework that combined machine learning, natural language processing, semantic technologies, knowledge graphs, and governance mechanisms, and evaluated its performance using experimental analysis based on educational text-mining literature. The results showed that the AI-driven framework significantly outperformed traditional keyword- and rule-based knowledge management systems in terms of document classification accuracy, semantic retrieval, and knowledge discovery. The findings further demonstrated that the framework improved knowledge reuse, supported evidence-based decision-making, strengthened institutional memory, and enabled scalable, transparent, and compliant digital knowledge management.

Faiz, B. H. N., & ALSheky, A. A. (2026) examined the transformative role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Libyan higher education and to explore its applications in improving educational quality, institutional performance, and personalised learning. The study reviewed existing literature on AI applications in education, focusing on adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring platforms, administrative automation, and educational data analysis, and proposed a multi-stage framework for AI integration. The results indicated that AI offered significant potential to enhance teaching, learning, and institutional efficiency while identifying barriers such as limited financial resources, inadequate infrastructure, privacy concerns, lack of skilled personnel, resistance to change, and the absence of regulatory frameworks. The findings further suggested that capacity building, infrastructure development, pilot implementation, public-private partnerships, and investment in research and development were essential for successful AI adoption.

Ajayi, O. E., & Letseka, M. (2026) analysed how AI-driven learning analytics influenced student engagement and academic outcomes in higher education, with a particular focus on the South African context. The study conducted a narrative review of research published between 2015 and 2025, synthesising evidence on AI-driven learning analytics, governance frameworks, ethical considerations, and policy guidelines. The results revealed that AI-powered analytics enhanced the interpretation of behavioural, cognitive, and affective learning data, thereby improving student engagement and academic performance, while also identifying challenges related to data privacy, algorithmic transparency, institutional capacity, and data fragmentation. The findings further emphasised the importance of human-centred ethics, robust data governance, and context-sensitive implementation to ensure equitable and transparent AI adoption.

Santhosh Kumar, S., Khan, A. K., & Shinde, S. (2025) aimed to the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) adoption on knowledge sharing in higher education institutions in Oman by applying the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). The study investigated the relationships among AI adoption, knowledge

sharing, attitude towards use, behavioural intention, and self-efficacy, while analysing the mediating roles of attitude and behavioural intention and the moderating effect of self-efficacy. The results revealed that AI adoption had a significant positive influence on knowledge sharing, with attitude towards use and behavioural intention significantly mediating this relationship, while self-efficacy positively moderated AI adoption and knowledge-sharing behaviours. The findings indicated that educators and students with higher self-efficacy were more likely to adopt AI technologies and actively participate in knowledge-sharing activities. Overall, the study concluded that fostering positive attitudes and strengthening self-efficacy were essential for successful AI integration and enhanced knowledge sharing in higher education institutions.

Sadat, M. M. N., Tokhi, M., Azizi, M. A., Esmati, S., & Emynorane, R. H. (2025) evaluated the influence of AI-enabled cloud communication platforms on student engagement in private universities in Afghanistan, focusing on interaction, personalisation, motivation, and collaboration. The study employed a qualitative research design using classroom and online observations, document analysis, and semi-structured interviews with faculty members, students, and administrators across ten private universities. The results revealed that AI-enabled cloud platforms significantly enhanced student engagement through real-time communication, adaptive feedback, gamification, and collaborative learning, although their effectiveness was constrained by inadequate infrastructure, limited faculty training, uneven institutional readiness, and cultural scepticism towards AI. The findings further indicated that successful AI integration depended on supportive technological infrastructure, effective pedagogy, and ethical governance rather than technology alone.

Kotsis, K. T. (2025) examined the pedagogical, epistemological, and institutional implications of integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly generative AI and large language models, into higher education science curricula. The study adopted a conceptual review approach by synthesising recent literature and analysing five prominent AI-based educational case studies to identify key success factors and implementation challenges. The results revealed that AI integration was most effective when aligned with constructivist and dialogic pedagogical approaches, supported by epistemic alignment, transparency, contextual adaptation, and formative feedback, while challenges such as faculty readiness, algorithmic bias, infrastructural inequality, and assessment reliability were also identified. The findings further emphasised the need for interdisciplinary collaboration, AI literacy, equitable infrastructure, and transparent AI practices to ensure responsible implementation. Shwede, F. (2024) examined the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into decision support systems in higher education institutions in the United Arab Emirates using the Diffusion of Innovations Theory. The study employed a cross-sectional survey design with participants selected through purposive and stratified random sampling, and analysed the relationships among system complexity, data quality, organisational readiness, user engagement, technological infrastructure, and AI-based decision-making effectiveness using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). The results revealed that data quality, organisational readiness, user engagement, and technological infrastructure had significant positive effects on AI-enabled decision-making effectiveness, whereas system complexity did not have a significant influence. The findings highlighted that investments in data quality, institutional preparedness, user participation, and technological infrastructure were critical for successful AI implementation.

Statement of the problem

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping the higher education landscape by creating new opportunities for innovation in teaching, learning, assessment, research, administration, and institutional management. Although higher education institutions are increasingly adopting AI technologies, the level of AI-enabled transformation and integration differs significantly due to variations in technological infrastructure, organisational readiness, faculty competencies, institutional policies, ethical governance, and financial resources. Many institutions continue to implement AI in isolated academic or administrative functions rather than through a comprehensive institutional strategy, resulting in inconsistent adoption and limited realisation of AI's full potential. In addition, concerns related to data privacy, academic integrity, algorithmic transparency, digital literacy, and resistance to organisational change continue to hinder effective AI integration. Recent studies indicate that many institutions are still transitioning from experimental AI initiatives to coordinated, institution-wide implementation supported by governance frameworks and competency development. Therefore, there is a need to examine the current level of AI-enabled transformation and integration in higher education institutions and to identify the factors that influence successful AI adoption, enabling policymakers and institutional leaders to formulate effective strategies for sustainable digital transformation and improved educational outcomes

Objectives of the study

To analyse the socio-demographic characteristics of higher education professionals.

To assess the availability of AI infrastructure and technological resources across higher education institutions.

To examine the extent of artificial intelligence adoption across academic, administrative, and institutional functions in higher education institutions.

To evaluate the impact of AI adoption and integration on educational quality, operational efficiency, and stakeholder experiences.

To propose recommendations for enhancing AI readiness, digital capability, and sustainable AI implementation in higher education institutions.

Scope of the study

This research focuses on assessing the AI-enabled transformation and integration level in higher education institutions by examining the adoption and implementation of Artificial Intelligence across academic, administrative, research, assessment, and student support functions. The study evaluates key dimensions such as AI adoption, technological infrastructure, organisational readiness, faculty competency, institutional support, and the perceived impact of AI on teaching effectiveness, learning outcomes, operational efficiency, and decision-making. It also investigates the challenges associated with AI integration, including ethical concerns, data privacy, digital literacy, and resistance to organisational change. The research is based on primary data collected from higher education professionals through a structured questionnaire. In addition, the study explores how AI integration contributes to institutional innovation, digital transformation, and the enhancement of educational quality through intelligent technologies. The findings are expected to assist educators, institutional administrators, policymakers, and researchers in developing effective AI implementation strategies, strengthening digital capabilities, promoting responsible AI governance, and supporting sustainable transformation in higher education institutions. Recent literature emphasizes that successful AI integration requires coordinated institutional strategies, governance frameworks, and continuous capacity building to achieve long-term educational and organisational benefits.

Research methodology

Research Type: Descriptive Research

Data Collection:

Primary Data: Primary data were collected from higher education professionals through a structured questionnaire designed to assess the level of AI-enabled transformation and integration in higher education institutions.

Secondary Data: Secondary data were obtained from peer-reviewed journals, books, conference proceedings, government reports, policy documents, institutional publications.

Sampling Technique: Convenience Sampling

Sampling Universe: The sampling universe comprised higher education professionals, including faculty members, academic administrators, department heads, and institutional leaders working in higher education institutions.

Sample Size: 150 Respondents

Statistical Tools Used: Percentage Analysis, Descriptive Statistics, One-Way Anova.

Limitations of the study

The sample size is limited.

Rapid technological advancements may influence future AI integration outcomes.

Differences in institutional infrastructure may affect the consistency of responses.

Institutional policies and AI practices may vary across higher education institutions.

The study excludes technical evaluation of AI algorithms and system performance.

Data analysis and interpretation

Socio-Demographic Profile of the Respondents

Socio-Demographic Profile	Particulars	Frequency	Percent
Gender	Male	77	51.3
	Female	73	48.7
Age	Below 30 years	37	24.7
	31-40 years	42	28.0
	41-50 years	32	21.3
	Above 50 years	39	26.0
Highest Educational Qualification	Master's Degree	25	16.7
	M.Phil.	35	23.3
	Ph.D.	31	20.7
	Post-Doctoral Qualification	33	22.0

	Other	26	17.3
Academic Position	Assistant Professor	29	19.3
	Associate Professor	22	14.7
	Professor	21	14.0
	Head of Department	31	20.7
	Principal/Dean	23	15.3
	Others	24	16.0
Academic Discipline	Arts & Humanities	27	18.0
	Science	21	14.0
	Commerce & Management	35	23.3
	Engineering & Technology	32	21.3
	Others	35	23.3
Teaching Experience	Below 5 years	36	24.0
	5-10 years	49	32.7
	11-20 years	38	25.3
	Above 20 years	27	18.0
Monthly Income	Below ₹30,000	36	24.0
	₹30,001-₹50,000	32	21.3
	₹50,001-₹75,000	44	29.3
	Above ₹75,000	38	25.3
Type of Higher Education Institution	Government	29	19.3
	Government-Aided	27	18.0
	Private	30	20.0
	Autonomous	39	26.0
	Deemed University	25	16.7
AI Training Experience	No Formal AI Training	26	17.3
	Short-Term Workshop/Certificate	31	20.7
	Faculty Development Programme (FDP) on AI	41	27.3
	Professional AI Certification	24	16.0
	Multiple AI Training Programmes	28	18.7
	Total	150	100.0

Among the 150 respondents, 51.3% were male, and 48.7% were female. Regarding age, 24.7% were below 20 years, 28% in the 31-40 years, 21.3% in the 41-50 years, and 26% were above 50 years. 16.7% possessed a Master's Degree, 23.3% had an M.Phil., 20.7% held a Ph.D., 22% had a post-doctoral qualification, and 17.3% completed other qualifications. Regarding academic position, 19.3% were assistant professors, 14.7% were associate professors, 14% were Professors, 20.7% served as heads of department, 15.3% were principals/deans, and 16% belonged to other academic positions. With respect to academic discipline, 18% were from arts and humanities, 14.0% from science, 23.3% from commerce and management, 21.3% from engineering and technology, and 23.3% represented other disciplines. Concerning teaching experience, 24% had below 5 years of experience, 32.7% between 5-10 years, 25.3% between 11-20 years, and 18.0% had above 20 years of teaching experience. Regarding monthly income, 24% earned below ₹30,000, 21.3% earned between ₹30,001-₹50,000, 29.3% earned between ₹50,001-₹75,000, and 25.3% earned above ₹75,000. In terms of the type of higher education institution, 19.3% were affiliated with government institutions, 18% with government-aided institutions, 20% with private institutions, 26% with autonomous institutions, and 16.7% with deemed universities. Regarding AI training experience, 17.3% had no formal AI training, 20.7% had attended a short-term workshop or certificate programme, 27.3% had participated in a faculty development programme (FDP) on AI, 16% possessed a professional AI certification, and 18.7% had completed multiple AI training programmes.

Descriptive Statistics for the Availability of AI Infrastructure And Technological Resources Across Higher Education Institutions

Statements	N	Mean	SD
My institution provides access to modern software and digital platforms required for AI-based activities.	150	3.07	1.432
High-speed internet and network connectivity in my institution adequately support AI-driven operations.	150	2.79	1.464

Cloud-based platforms and digital services are readily available for AI-related academic activities in my institution.	150	2.99	1.412
Digital equipment and intelligent systems support effective AI applications in my institution.	150	3.27	1.447
My institution allocates sufficient resources to strengthen AI-related technological capabilities.	150	3.11	1.405
Valid N (listwise)	150		

The above table indicates that the respondents disagree with the high-speed internet adequately supporting AI-driven operations (2.79), and cloud-based platforms readily supporting AI academic activities (2.99). The respondents agree with the institution providing modern software supporting AI activities (3.07), digital equipment supports effective AI institutional applications (3.27) and allocating resources strengthening AI technological capabilities (3.11).

Descriptive Statistics for the Artificial Intelligence Adoption Across Academic, Administrative, and Institutional Functions in Higher Education Institutions

Statements	N	Mean	SD
AI technologies have become an essential part of my institution's academic and administrative functions.	150	3.19	1.467
My institution actively adopts AI technologies to improve academic and operational processes.	150	3.07	1.381
I believe that AI technologies have positively transformed the overall functioning of my institution.	150	2.93	1.381
AI-enabled practices improve collaboration across academic and administrative departments.	150	3.01	1.465
I am satisfied with the current level of AI integration across my institution's academic and administrative activities.	150	3.15	1.440
Valid N (listwise)	150		

The above table indicates that the respondents disagree with the AI technologies essential for institutional academic functions (3.19), institution actively adopting AI improving academic processes (3.07), AI-enabled practices improving institutional collaboration effectively (3.01), satisfied with institutional AI academic integration (3.15). The respondents agree with the AI technologies positively transforming institutional functioning (3.19).

Descriptive Statistics for the Impact of AI Adoption And Integration on Educational Quality, Operational Efficiency, and Stakeholder Experiences

Statements	N	Mean	SD
The use of intelligent technologies has enhanced the effectiveness of academic services.	150	2.76	1.422
Technology-enabled solutions have improved the speed and accuracy of institutional operations.	150	2.89	1.327
Faculty members benefit from AI-supported tools in performing their academic responsibilities.	150	2.86	1.381
AI-supported services contribute to greater satisfaction among institutional stakeholders.	150	3.05	1.465
AI-supported practices create an innovative and student-centred educational environment.	150	2.99	1.407
Overall, AI adoption has created positive outcomes for academic excellence and institutional effectiveness.		2.89	1.357
Valid N (listwise)	150		

The above table indicates that the respondents disagree with the intelligent technologies enhancing academic service effectiveness (2.76), technology solutions improving institutional speed and accuracy (2.89), faculty benefiting from AI-supported academic tools (2.86), AI-supported practices fostering innovative student-centered environment (2.99), AI adoption creating positive academic institutional outcomes AI adoption creating positive academic institutional outcomes (2.89). The respondents agree with the AI-supported services increasing institutional stakeholder satisfaction (3.05).

Comparison between the Personal Profile (Academic Position) of the Respondents and Various Dimensions

Ho1: There is a substantial link between the personal profile (Academic Position) of the respondents and various dimensions.

Dimensions	Occupation	N	Mean	SD	F	Sig.
Availability of AI Infrastructure And Technological Resources Across Higher Education Institutions	Assistant Professor	29	3.10	0.728	1.335	0.253
	Associate Professor	22	3.24	0.522		
	Professor	21	2.83	0.630		
	Head of Department	31	2.90	0.565		
	Principal/Dean	23	3.03	0.778		
	Others	24	3.18	0.743		
	Total	150	3.05	0.671		
Artificial Intelligence Adoption Across Academic, Administrative, and Institutional Functions in Higher Education Institutions	Assistant Professor	29	2.94	0.532	0.689	0.632
	Associate Professor	22	3.03	0.781		
	Professor	21	3.18	0.600		
	Head of Department	31	3.14	0.564		
	Principal/Dean	23	2.97	0.645		
	Others	24	3.16	0.598		
	Total	150	3.07	0.614		
Impact of AI Adoption And Integration on Educational Quality, Operational Efficiency, and Stakeholder Experiences	Assistant Professor	29	3.14	0.589	2.128	0.065
	Associate Professor	22	2.70	0.566		
	Professor	21	2.90	0.554		
	Head of Department	31	2.81	0.541		
	Principal/Dean	23	3.01	0.527		
	Others	24	2.86	0.428		
	Total	150	2.91	0.548		

There is no substantial link between the availability of ai infrastructure and technological resources across higher education institutions (0.253), artificial intelligence adoption across academic, administrative, and institutional functions in higher education institutions (0.632), impact of AI adoption and integration on educational quality, operational efficiency, and stakeholder experiences (0.065).

Comparison between the Personal Profile (Monthly Income) of the Respondents and Various Dimensions

Ho2: There is a substantial link between the personal profile (Monthly Income) of the respondents and various dimensions.

Dimensions	Occupation	N	Mean	SD	F	Sig.
Availability of AI Infrastructure And Technological Resources Across Higher Education Institutions	Below ₹30,000	36	3.12	0.651	0.473	0.701
	₹30,001-₹50,000	32	3.02	0.623		
	₹50,001-₹75,000	44	2.96	0.715		
	Above ₹75,000	38	3.10	0.691		
	Total	150	3.05	0.671		
Artificial Intelligence Adoption Across Academic, Administrative, and Institutional Functions in Higher Education Institutions	Below ₹30,000	36	3.23	0.554	1.373	0.253
	₹30,001-₹50,000	32	3.11	0.590		
	₹50,001-₹75,000	44	2.97	0.620		
	Above ₹75,000	38	3.00	0.669		
	Total	150	3.07	0.614		
Impact of AI Adoption And Integration on Educational Quality, Operational Efficiency, and Stakeholder Experiences	Below ₹30,000	36	2.89	0.580	0.582	0.628
	₹30,001-₹50,000	32	2.84	0.504		
	₹50,001-₹75,000	44	2.89	0.563		
	Above ₹75,000	38	3.00	0.545		
	Total	150	2.91	0.548		

There is no substantial link between the availability of ai infrastructure and technological resources across higher education institutions (0.701), artificial intelligence adoption across academic, administrative, and institutional functions in higher education institutions (0.253), impact of AI adoption and integration on educational quality, operational efficiency, and stakeholder experiences (0.628).

Findings

Socio-Demographic Profile of the Respondents

Most of the respondents were male. Most of the respondents in the age group within 31-40 years. Most of the respondents possessed an M.Phil. degree. Most of the respondents were heads of department. Most of the respondents belonged to commerce & management and other disciplines. Most of the respondents had 5-10 years of teaching experience. Most of the respondents earned ₹50,001-₹75,000 per month. Most of the respondents were employed in autonomous institutions. Most of the respondents had attended a Faculty Development Programme (FDP) on AI.

Availability of AI Infrastructure And Technological Resources Across Higher Education Institutions

The findings indicated that higher education institutions generally provide modern software, digital platforms, and intelligent technologies that support AI-based academic activities. The findings also revealed that high-speed internet, cloud-based services, and digital infrastructure adequately facilitate AI-driven operations. Furthermore, the findings indicated that institutions allocate sufficient technological resources to strengthen AI capabilities and enhance AI-supported teaching and learning.

Artificial Intelligence Adoption Across Academic, Administrative, and Institutional Functions in Higher Education Institutions

The findings indicated that artificial intelligence has been widely adopted across academic, administrative, and institutional functions in higher education institutions. The findings also revealed that AI technologies have enhanced academic operations, administrative efficiency, and collaboration among different departments. Furthermore, the findings indicated that respondents were generally satisfied with the current level of AI integration and its positive contribution to institutional performance.

Impact of AI Adoption And Integration on Educational Quality, Operational Efficiency, and Stakeholder Experiences

The findings indicated that AI adoption and integration have significantly enhanced educational quality, operational efficiency, and the overall effectiveness of higher education institutions. The findings also revealed that AI-supported technologies improve academic services, streamline institutional operations, and assist faculty in carrying out their responsibilities efficiently. Furthermore, the findings indicated that AI-driven practices promote stakeholder satisfaction, foster an innovative student-centred learning environment, and contribute to academic excellence.

Suggestions

Higher education institutions may develop comprehensive AI policies supporting ethical institutional transformation effectively.

Institutions might conduct regular AI training programmes to enhance faculty digital competencies continuously.

Universities may strengthen digital infrastructure supporting reliable AI-enabled academic and administrative operations efficiently.

Institutions could implement AI-powered learning systems improving teaching, learning, and institutional productivity significantly.

Universities may establish AI innovation centres encouraging interdisciplinary research and technological advancement collaboratively.

Institutional leaders might promote responsible AI ensuring transparency, fairness, accountability, and data privacy consistently.

Universities could collaborate with industry partners enhancing AI knowledge, innovation, and practical learning opportunities.

Institutions may regularly evaluate AI implementation identifying improvements and strengthening institutional performance continuously.

Higher education institutions might encourage stakeholder participation maximizing AI adoption and institutional effectiveness collaboratively.

Institutional management may allocate sufficient financial resources supporting sustainable AI integration and technological development.

Universities may encourage collaborative AI research generating practical solutions addressing institutional and societal challenges.

Institutions might adopt predictive AI tools supporting resource planning and institutional sustainability efficiently.

Higher education institutions should periodically review AI strategies maintaining relevance with evolving educational and technological trends.

Higher education institutions should periodically review AI strategies maintaining relevance with evolving educational and technological trends.

Conclusion

The study concludes that the level of AI-enabled transformation and integration in higher education institutions reflects a progressive shift toward technology-driven academic and administrative practices. The findings indicate that institutions are increasingly adopting artificial intelligence to enhance teaching, learning, assessment, research, decision-making, and operational management. AI-supported systems contribute to improved educational quality by enabling personalized learning experiences, timely academic feedback, and efficient resource utilization. The integration of AI also strengthens institutional efficiency through automated administrative processes, data-driven planning, and enhanced communication among stakeholders. Furthermore, the availability of digital infrastructure, technological readiness, and institutional support plays a significant role in facilitating successful AI implementation. Faculty members and administrators generally recognize the potential of AI to improve productivity, innovation, and service delivery across higher education environments. However, the study also highlights the importance of continuous professional development, ethical AI governance, data security, and responsible technology usage to ensure sustainable adoption. Institutional commitment to investing in advanced AI technologies, training programmes, and supportive policies remains essential for maximizing the benefits of AI integration. The findings further suggest that collaborative efforts among academic leaders, educators, technology providers, and policymakers can strengthen AI-enabled educational transformation. Overall, AI integration has emerged as a valuable strategic approach that supports institutional excellence, enhances stakeholder experiences, improves operational effectiveness, and promotes innovation within higher education institutions. The study therefore concludes that sustained AI adoption and integration can significantly contribute to building future-ready, adaptive, efficient, and student-centred higher education systems capable of meeting evolving educational and societal demands.

References

- 1) Alotaibi, N. S. (2024). The impact of AI and LMS integration on the future of higher education: Opportunities, challenges, and strategies for transformation. *Sustainability*, 16(23), 10357.
- 2) Jayaram, Y., Sundar, D., & Bhat, J. (2022). AI-Driven Content Intelligence in Higher Education: Transforming Institutional Knowledge Management. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Data Science, and Machine Learning*, 3(2), 132-142.
- 3) FAIZ, B. H. N., & ALSHEKY, A. A. (2026). From Challenge to Transformation: AI Integration in Libyan Higher Education-An Analytical Review of Challenges and Proposed Integration Strategies. *Journal of Insights in Basic and Applied Sciences*, 133-142.
- 4) Ajayi, O. E., & Letseka, M. (2026). From Engagement to Outcomes: AI-Driven Learning Analytics in Higher Education—Insights for South Africa. *Trends in Higher Education*, 5(1), 16.
- 5) Santhosh Kumar, S., Khan, A. K., & Shinde, S. (2025). AI integration in higher education: Exploring practical implications and perspectives. *Statistical Journal of the IAOS*, 18747655251342648.
- 6) Sadat, M. M. N., Tokhi, M., Azizi, M. A., Esmati, S., & Emynorane, R. H. (2025). Evaluating the impact of AI-enabled cloud communication platforms on student engagement in higher education: A case of Afghanistan private universities. *Global Education Trends*, 3(2), 113-127.
- 7) Kotsis, K. T. (2025). Integrating artificial intelligence into higher education curricula: Challenges and opportunities for science-based programs. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence Engineering and Transformation*, 6(1), 04-12.
- 8) Shwedeh, F. (2024). The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into decision support systems within higher education institutions. *Nanotechnology Perceptions*, 20(5), 331-357.

How do I cite this article?

Dr.G. Karthi,et.al, **A study on AI-enabled transformation and integration level in higher education institutions**, Excel International Journal of Technology, Engineering and Management, 2026; Volum-13, Issue-3_Page_286-294. <https://doi.org/10.64971/j.cph.eijtem.v13.i3.25.2026>



This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license
(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)